



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,566	12/19/2003	Silvia Marini	SCP-117	5050

42419 7590 10/02/2006

PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD
SUITE 365
HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195

EXAMINER

COLE, ELIZABETH M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/743,566

Applicant(s)

MARINI ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth M. Cole

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: <u>2006 09 20</u> |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/10/06 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belluci et al, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0252254 in view of Higuchi et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,525,169. Belluci discloses a durable textile material comprising a needled nonwoven fabric comprising microfibers impregnated with a polyurethane binder which comprises both hard and soft segments, wherein the soft segments comprise a mixture of polycarbonate polyols and polyester polyols and the hard segments comprise urethane groups derived from the reaction of isocyanate with ureic polyols. See paragraphs 0019- 0020. Suitable polycarbonate diols and polyester polyols include those claimed. See paragraph 0021. The free isocyanate groups may comprise the claimed groups. See paragraph 0023. The polycarbonate diol and polyester diol can be present in a ratio of 82/20 to 40/60. See paragraph 0029. The

Art Unit: 1771

materials are suitable for use in making artificial leather. Belluci differs from the claimed invention because Belluci does not disclose employing fibers having different degrees of dyeability. Higuchi et al teaches an artificial leather material comprising ultra fine fibers having a denier of between 0.0001 and 0.7. The fibers can be needled to form a fabric. See col. 3, lines 6-9. Higuchi et al teaches employing two different types of fibers having two different degrees of dyeability to make up the nonwoven. See col. 3, lines 23-38. Higuchi teaches that by using two different fibers having different dyeability that a mixed color effect can be obtained. Higuchi further teaches that the fabric can further be printed with various dyes and pigments to further enhance the appearance of the fabric. See col. 6, lines 19-46. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed fibers having different degrees of dyeability to form the fabric substrate in Belluci and to have further printed the dyed fabric, motivated by the teaching of Higuchi that this allows for the formation of fabrics having an enhanced appearance. With regard to the limitations regarding when the fibers are dyed and the type of needles employed, since the instant claims are drawn to a product, the burden is on Applicant to show that any process differences result in an unobvious difference in the claimed product.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection over Belluci has been maintained because on review of the declaration there is an ambiguous mark which seems to indicate that priority to the foreign application is NOT being claimed. In view of this, the rejection is maintained. Once this issue has been clarified and if priority is being claimed, the rejection will be

Art Unit: 1771

withdrawn since priority will have been perfected and the Belluci reference will have been overcome.

5. Applicant's amendment is sufficient to distinguish the claimed invention from the Mizoguchi reference since it limits the soft segments to the two claimed components and excludes other groups. This overcomes the Mizoguchi reference since Mizoguchi requires that the soft segments of the polyurethane include: 1) polycarbonate diol containing a hydrocarbon having 5 or 6 carbon atoms, 2) a polyester diol containing a tetramethylene group, and 3) a polyester diol having a $-(O-CH_2CH_2)_2-O$ functional group and a functional group including 4 to 8 carbon atoms and there is no motivation found to limit the soft segments to the two claimed components as required by the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.


Elizabeth M. Cole

ELIZABETH M. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER